

South Ribble

Local Development Scheme

September 2013 - 2016

Table of Contents

| Introduction | 1 |
|---|---|
| Purpose of the Document | 1 |
| Hierarchy for Existing and Proposed Planning Documents | 1 |
| Update since previous Local Development Scheme (March 2011) | |
| Resources | 6 |
| Risk Assessment of Delivering LDF Programme | 7 |
| Appendix - Saved Local Plan (2000) Policies | |
| [-] | _ |

Introduction

The purpose of this Local Development Scheme (LDS) is to identify what the council is going to do over the next three years to prepare new and revised planning policy to form its Local Development Framework (LDF).

Every local planning authority in England has to prepare an LDF (also known as a Local Plan). This framework includes all of the local planning policies for that area, and any planning applications have to be decided in line with it unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The current system of LDFs was mostly put in place by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Although this Act referred to Local Plans as 'Local Development Frameworks', many authorities are now referring to them as 'Local Plans'.

Within this area, there is joint working with the Central Lancashire authorities (made up of South Ribble, Chorley and Preston). This work has included the adoption of a joint Central Lancashire Core Strategy in July 2012 as well as a variety of supplementary planning documents, which are part of the LDF.

Purpose of the Document

This document covers the three year period between September 2013 and August 2016. In relation to South Ribble, it supersedes the previous LDS which has been operating in the three authorities since March 2011.

The LDS includes the following information:

- Details of the LDF documents to be prepared from September 2013 onwards; and a timetable for their preparation.
- Details of the remaining 'saved policies' from the South Ribble Local Plan (2000).
- Information about the resources available, within South Ribble, to prepare the LDF documents.
- Potential risks to the timely achievement of the work programme set out in this LDS and how these risks are to be managed.

Hierarchy for Existing and Proposed Planning Documents

- National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (adopted March 2012)
- Lancashire Waste and Minerals Core Strategy (adopted 2009)
- Lancashire Waste and Minerals Site Allocations DPD (adopted September 2013)

- Central Lancashire Core Strategy (adopted July 2012)*
- Saved South Ribble Local Plan policies (adopted 2000)*
- South Ribble Site Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD (emerging)*
- Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule LDD (adopted July 2013)
- Samlesbury & Cuerdale Neighbourhood Development Plan (emerging)*
- Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) (emerging and adopted)*

The Core Strategy is the main document of the LDF. It will be supported by the Site Allocations Development Management Policies DPD, Neighbourhood Development Plans and SPDs, as outlined on the following pages.

Development Plan Documents (DPDs) have the greatest weight in **local** planning policy. They form the Development Plan, along with the NPPF. However, until all the DPDs proposed in this LDS have been adopted, some 'saved policies' from the South Ribble Local Plan (2000) will also remain part of the Development Plan.

Update since previous Local Development Scheme (March 2011)

Core Strategy DPD

The Core Strategy is the strategic document of the Plan and covers all three Central Lancashire authority areas – South Ribble, Chorley and Preston. The Core Strategy was found sound by an independent Planning Inspector in May 2012 and was adopted in July 2012. This document set the overall strategic vision for the area, including issues such as setting housing requirements and principles for infrastructure.

Site Allocation & Development Management Policies DPDs

Each Central Lancashire authority is producing a separate Site Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD – which combines the allocation of land for development uses and the protection of land. It also includes Development Management criteria policies including car parking standards and uses within town, district and local centres. The preparation of these three DPDs is currently underway. South Ribble and Chorley are currently awaiting the Inspectors' reports following their Examinations in Public and the Preferred Options consultation has been completed in Preston borough.

The South Ribble Examination in Public with the Planning Inspector took place in March 2013. The Planning Inspector considered all of the modifications presented during the

^{*}Documents which make up the Local Development Framework

examination and an eight week consultation on the proposed Main and Additional Modifications ended mid-August 2013.

All representations received on the Main Modifications will be forwarded for consideration by the Planning Inspector. Representations on the Additional Modifications will be considered by the Council only.

Neighbourhood Development Plan

Through the Localism Act 2011 the Government is giving local communities a direct say in the future development of their neighbourhood, parish or town. Communities can, but are not required to, produce a Neighbourhood Development Plan. This is a planning document that guides and shapes development in the local area and is created by local people.

Samlesbury & Cuerdale Parish Council, as a 'relevant body', intends to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Parish of Samlesbury & Cuerdale and has submitted an application for the designation of the area it will cover. The proposed plan area follows the parish boundary for Samlesbury & Cuerdale. An eight week consultation period on the Neighbourhood Area ended mid-August 2013.

Statement of Community Involvement

The Council's Statement of Community Involvement is currently being updated. A consultation will be carried out on the proposed updated version following which it is anticipated it will be adopted in December 2013.

Timescales

The following table shows the anticipated timescales for each stage of the process.

| | Central Lancashire Core Strategy – Partial Review | Site Allocations DPD | Samlesbury & Cuerdale Neighbourhood Development Plan | Statement of Community Involvement Update |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| Sep-13 | | | | |
| Oct-13 | | Inspector's Interim Report | | Consultation |
| Nov-13 | | Consider need for consultation on GTAA ¹ findings | | |
| Dec-13 | | | | Adoption |
| Jan-14 | | | | |
| Feb-14 | | Hearing sessions | | |
| Mar-14 | | Inspector's Report | | |
| Apr-14 | | Adoption | | |
| May-14 | | | | |
| Jun-14 | | | | |
| Jul-14 | | | | |

¹ Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment

| | Central Lancashire Core Strategy – Partial Review | Site Allocations DPD | Samlesbury & Cuerdale Neighbourhood Development Plan | Statement of Community Involvement Update |
|--------|--|-------------------------|---|--|
| Aug-14 | | | Consultation* by | |
| Sep-14 | | | Parish Council on pre-submission Plan | |
| Oct-14 | | | Parish Council consider Responses Parish Council submit Plan to Borough Council | |
| Nov-14 | | | Consultation* by | |
| Dec-14 | | | Borough Council on Plan submitted by Parish Council | |
| Jan-15 | Consider the need for a Partial Review based on appropriate evidence | | Borough Council Consider Responses | |
| Feb-15 | | | Examination | |
| Mar-15 | | | Publication of Notice of Referendum ² | |
| Apr-15 | | | | |
| May-15 | | | Referendum | |
| Jun-15 | | | | |
| Jul-15 | | | Adoption | |

*Note: All consultations must be for a minimum of six weeks

Supplementary Planning Documents

Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) offer local planning authorities the opportunity to add guidance on specific policy areas. The purpose of SPDs is to provide guidance on the interpretation and implementation of relevant planning policies, particularly those in the Central Lancashire Core Strategy. They form part of the LDF.

The following SPDs have been adopted:

Central Lancashire

- Affordable Housing
- Controlling Re-Use of Employment Premises
- Rural Development
- Access to Healthy Food (currently under review)
- Design Guide

South Ribble

Residential Extensions

² Not later than twenty-fifth day before day of referendum

The following SPDs are also being produced.

| | Central Lancashire Open Space & Playing Pitch SPD | South Ribble Renewable Energy & Low Carbon SPD | Central Lancashire Biodiversity & Nature Conservation SPD |
|----------------|--|---|---|
| Summer 2013 | Consider Responses | Consider Responses | |
| Autumn 2013 | Adoption | | |
| Winter 2013/14 | | Adoption | Consultation |
| Spring 2014 | | | Consider Responses |
| Summer 2014 | | | Adoption |

Saved Policies from South Ribble Local Plan (2000)

A full list of policies saved from the Local Plan (2000) can be found in the Appendix to this document.

The remaining saved policies will stay in force until they are superseded by the Site Allocations and Development Management DPD when it is adopted.


Annual Monitoring Report

South Ribble Borough Council prepares a monitoring report, which it will make available to the public in the autumn of each year.

The latest reports can be viewed on the authority's website.

Relationships within the Local Development Framework

The following diagram shows the relationships between the documents which make up the Local Development Framework.



Resources

The following in-house resources will be made available:

- 0.5 (equivalent) x Planning Manager
- 2.7 (equivalent) x Planning Officers
- 1 (equivalent) x Technical Support Assistant

In addition, joint working between the Central Lancashire teams is coordinated through a Central Lancashire officer working group. There is also a Joint Advisory Committee made up of members from the three Central Lancashire authorities and Lancashire County Council.

The Forward Planning Team can draw on additional resource from other teams within South Ribble Borough Council, for expertise on, for example:

- Development management
- Housing
- Neighbourhood management
- Environmental health
- Economic development

- Property services
- Sports development
- Parks
- Health
- Regeneration
- Corporate policy

Lancashire County Council has a strategic planning function. The borough council draws on their expertise in areas such as:

- Transport and highways
- Ecology
- Minerals and waste
- Cross-boundary issues
- Health
- Education

Consultants are engaged on specific projects where there is a lack of expertise or capacity in-house, for example the production of studies as part of the evidence base for the LDF.

Risk Assessment of Delivering LDF Programme

In preparing the LDS, the main risks to programme slippage are:

- Staff turnover/absence This potential problem has been mitigated by the allocation of responsibility for production of the LDF to all members of the planning policy team, to allow for cover if required. Also the authority has established good working relationships with consultants with a view to employing them from time to time, subject to mutual agreement and financial arrangements.
- Political delay The potential for delays due to the political process is increased by
 the requirement for joint/aligned documents (such as some of the SPDs) to be
 endorsed by the relevant decision-making structure of each Central Lancashire
 authority. This has been mitigated by involving Members from all three authorities in
 a Joint Advisory Committee informed by LDF working groups in each district authority
 enabling Members to be fully involved at an early stage.
- Capacity of the Planning Inspectorate and other agencies to cope with demand nationwide – The authority gave early warning of the LDF programme and invited comments on time-scales. However, this risk is largely out of the authority's control.
- **Joint Working** Although there are considerable benefits in joint working, there are risks in terms of programming work and political decision making. The three authorities are minimising this risk through a memorandum of understanding.

- 'Soundness' of DPD Joint and independent working, this risk will be minimised by liaising closely with relevant partners and agencies, neighbouring authorities and having regard to the soundness toolkit and procedural implications.
- Changing Legislation The risk of changing legislation during the preparation of the LDF is a challenge. This impact will be minimised by attending events, liaising with the relevant Government department, keeping up-to-date with new policy and legislation and assessing how this may impact on the LDF. This is largely out of the authority's control.
- Finance/Resource Availability Finance and resource availability will be a significant challenge in the forthcoming years. This will need to be considered by the authority and assessed on an annual basis. The risk of lack of finance has been mitigated by advance budgeting and joint working savings.

Appendix – Saved Local Plan (2000) Policies

The following policies remain saved from the South Ribble Local Plan (2000) and will be replaced by policies in the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Development Plan Document when this is formally adopted. Some have already been partially replaced by policies in the Central Lancashire Core Strategy.

| Local Plan (2000) – Policy | Site Allocations DPD – New Policy |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| C1 – Community Facilities | Policy H1 – Protection of Health, Education & Other |
| | Community Services & Facilities |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| C2 – Existing Community Services | Policy H1 – Protection of Health, Education & Other |
| | Community Services & Facilities |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| C3 – School Sites | Policy H1 – Protection of Health, Education & Other |
| | Community Services & Facilities |
| C4 – Community Centres | Policy H1 – Protection of Health, Education & Other |
| | Community Services & Facilities |
| D3 – Existing Built-up Areas | Policy B1 – Existing Built-Up Areas |
| D4 – Green Belt | Policy G1 – Green Belt |
| D5 – Development in the Green Belt | Policy G1 – Green Belt |
| | Policy G2 – The Re-Use & Adaptation of Buildings in |
| | the Green Belt |
| D7 – Major Developed Site – | Policy G1 – Green Belt |
| Whitbread Brewery | |
| D8 – Safeguarded Land | Policy G3 – Safeguarded Land for Future |
| DO Lasal Nasada in Williams | Development Development |
| D9 – Local Needs in Villages | Policy B2 – Village Development |
| D10 – Green Wedges | Policy G12 – Green Corridors |
| D10. The Dayler O Adentation of | (and Core Strategy) |
| D12 – The Re-use & Adaptation of | Policy G2 – The Re-Use & Adaptation of Buildings in |
| Rural Buildings | the Green Belt |
| EMP1 – Allocation of Employment Land | Policy E1 – Allocation of Employment Land |
| EMP2 – Major Inward Investment | Policy C4 Cuardan Stratagia Sita |
| Site | Policy C4 – Cuerden Strategic Site |
| EMP3 – Main Existing Employment | Policy E2 – Protection of Employment Areas & Sites |
| Areas | Tollog EE Trotodion of Employment Alous a Oilos |
| EMP4 – Other Existing Sites | Policy E2 – Protection of Employment Areas & Sites |
| EMP6 – Mixed Use Schemes | Policy C1 – Pickering's Farm, Penwortham |
| | Policy C2 – Moss Side Test Track, Leyland |
| | Policy C4 – Cuerden Strategic Site |
| | Policy C5 – BAE Systems, Samlesbury |
| EMP7 – Land at West Paddock, | Policy E1 – Allocation of Employment Land |
| Leyland | |

| Local Plan (2000) – Policy | Site Allocations DPD – New Policy |
|--|--|
| EMP8 – Land at Samlesbury | Policy C5 – BAE Systems, Samlesbury |
| Aerodrome | |
| EMP9 – Strategic Landscaped | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future |
| Areas in Employment Areas | Provision |
| ENV1 – Landscape Protection & | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future |
| Enhancement | Provision |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| ENV2 – Sites of Special Scientific | Policy G16 Biodiversity & Nature Conservation |
| Interest | (and Core Strategy) |
| ENV3 – Protecting Other Sites & | Policy G16 Biodiversity & Nature Conservation |
| Features of Nature Conservation | (and Core Strategy) |
| Interest ENV4 – Protection of the Habitats of | Policy G16 Biodiversity & Nature Conservation |
| Wildlife | (and Core Strategy) |
| ENV5 – Habitat Creation | Policy G16 Biodiversity & Nature Conservation |
| LIVVS — Habitat Greation | (and Core Strategy) |
| ENV6 – Wildlife Corridors | Policy G16 Biodiversity & Nature Conservation |
| Live Whalle Collidors | (and Core Strategy) |
| ENV7 – Protection of Trees & | Policy G13 – Trees, Woodlands & Developments |
| Woodlands | |
| ENV8 – Trees and Development | Policy G13 – Trees, Woodlands & Developments |
| ENV9 – Unstable or Contaminated | Policy G14 – Unstable or Contaminated Land |
| Land | |
| ENV10 – Derelict Land Reclamation | Policy G15 – Derelict Land Reclamation |
| ENV11 – Environmental | Policy G15 – Derelict Land Reclamation |
| Improvement | |
| ENV12 – Listed Buildings | Site Allocations – Appendix 6 – Listed Buildings |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| FR1 – Definition of District Centres | Policy E4 – District Centres |
| | Policy E5 – Local Centres |
| FR2 – Capitol Centre, Walton-le- | Policy B5 – The Capitol Centre, Walton-le-Dale |
| Dale | |
| FR4 – Other Retail & Commercial | Policy B1 – Existing Built-up Areas |
| Development within the Existing | (and Core Strategy) |
| Built-Up Area | Policy E2 Loyland Town Contro |
| FR5 – Loss of Local Shops | Policy E3 – Leyland Town Centre Policy E4 – District Centres |
| | Policy E4 – District Centres Policy E5 – Local Centres |
| HP1 – Housing (Interim Planning | Policy D1 – Allocation of Housing Land |
| Policy) | . S. S. F. Fillocation of Flodoling Land |
| HP7 – Agricultural Workers' | Policy D3 – Agricultural Workers' Dwellings in the |
| Dwellings | Countryside |
| HP8 – Removal of Agricultural | Policy D3 – Agricultural Workers' Dwellings in the |
| Occupancy Conditions | Countryside |
| IPP – Retail (Interim Planning | Policy E4 – District Centres |
| Policy) | Policy E5 – Local Centres |
| | <u> </u> |

| Local Plan (2000) – Policy | Site Allocations DPD – New Policy |
|--|--|
| LTC1 Land at Southern Towngate | Policy E3 – Leyland Town Centre |
| LTC3 – Other Development within | Policy E3 – Leyland Town Centre |
| the Town Centre | (and Core Strategy) |
| LTC4 Criteria for Determining Applications | Policy E3 – Leyland Town Centre |
| LTC5 – Hough Lane & Chapel Brow Improvements | Policy E3 – Leyland Town Centre |
| LTC6 – Linking of Chapel Brow & | Policy E3 – Leyland Town Centre |
| Hough Lane | (and Core Strategy) |
| LTC7 - Car Parking in Leyland | Policy E3 – Leyland Town Centre |
| Town Centre | Policy F1 – Parking Standards |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| OS1 – New Development Standards | Policy G10 – Green Infrastructure Provision in |
| - interim policy | Residential Developments |
| OSR3 – New Sites | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future Provision |
| | Policy G10 – Green Infrastructure Provision in |
| | Residential Developments |
| OSR4 – Parks & Other Public Open | Policy G7 – Green Infrastructure – Existing Provision |
| Spaces | Policy G9 – Worden Park |
| OSR5 – Amenity Open Spaces | Policy G7 – Green Infrastructure – Existing Provision |
| | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future Provision |
| OSR6 - Private, Educational & | Policy G7 – Green Infrastructure – Existing Provision |
| Institutional Recreational Open | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future |
| Spaces | Provision |
| OSR7 – Allotments | Policy G7 – Green Infrastructure – Existing Provision |
| | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future Provision |
| | Policy G10 – Green Infrastructure Provision in |
| | Residential Developments |
| OSR8 – New Facilities | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future |
| | Provision |
| | Policy H1 – Protection of Health, Education & Other |
| | Community Services & Facilities |
| OSR14 – Footpath Network | Policy G12 – Green Corridors |
| · · | (and Core Strategy) |
| QD1 – Design Criteria for New | Policy B6 – Design Criteria for New Development |
| Development | (and Core Strategy) |
| QD2 - Design of Residential | Policy B6 – Design Criteria for New Development |
| Extensions & Free Standing | (and Core Strategy) |
| Structures | |
| QD6 – Noise Sensitive | Policy B6 – Design Criteria for New Development |
| Developments | (and Core Strategy) |

| Local Plan (2000) – Policy | Site Allocations DPD – New Policy |
|--------------------------------|--|
| QD7 – Landscaping in New | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks - Future |
| Developments | Provision |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| T1 – Protection of New Highway | Policy A3 – The Completion of the Penwortham |
| Routes & Schemes | Bypass |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| T3 – Link Road | Policy A2 – Cross Borough Link Road |
| T5 – Road Hierarchy | Policy A2 – Cross Borough Link Road |
| | Policy A3 – The Completion of the Penwortham |
| | Bypass |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| T10 – Parking Standards | Policy F1 – Parking Standards |
| T11 – Cycling | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future |
| | Provision |
| | (and Core Strategy) |
| T12 – Footpaths & Bridleways | Policy G8 – Green Infrastructure & Networks – Future |
| | Provision |
| | (and Core Strategy) |