

**SOUTH RIBBLE BOROUGH COUNCIL
SITE ALLOCATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT
POLICIES
DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT
EXAMINATION HEARINGS**

AGENDA – DAY 4 (PM)
Tuesday 12 March 2013 at 2.00pm

Please note:

- **All participants are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the hearing statements (and additional evidence) produced by the Council and other parties in respect of the matters addressed at this session. These are available on the examination website.**
- **Some agenda items may have been addressed by written submissions but have remained on the agenda to facilitate discussion if necessary.**
- **Further changes to the agenda are feasible prior to the hearing**

Agenda

1. Inspector's introduction and procedural questions (as necessary)
2. **Matter 2 – Policy C4 – Cuerden Strategic Site:** Are the Major Sites justified by the available evidence with due regard to reasonable alternatives and are they capable of effective and timely implementation?
 - Are the requirements for a masterplan clearly set out; is it apparent who is to develop the masterplan and what process this should follow?
 - Is a masterplan necessary?
 - Is this site justified having regard to alternatives?
 - Are the infrastructure requirements sufficiently clear and is it clear how they will be met, when and by whom?
 - How will regard be had to biodiversity in the site's development?
 - Is this site justified having regard to alternatives?

**SOUTH RIBBLE BOROUGH COUNCIL
SITE ALLOCATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT
POLICIES
DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT
EXAMINATION HEARINGS**

3. **Matter 2 – Policy C5 – BAE Systems, Samlesbury** : Are the Major Sites justified by the available evidence with due regard to reasonable alternatives and are they capable of effective and timely implementation?

- Are the requirements for a masterplan clearly set out; is it apparent who is to develop the masterplan and what process this should follow?
- Is a masterplan necessary?
- Are the infrastructure requirements sufficiently clear and is it clear how they will be met, when and by whom?
- How will regard be had to biodiversity in the site's development? Has regard been had to the Local Wildlife Site?
- Is the proposed amendment to the Green Belt justified and consistent with the NPPF and the CS?
- Is the policy sufficiently clear as to what uses would be acceptable?

4. Other matters

5. Close